## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

ALEXANDER HARRIS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	No. 4:16-CV-499 SNLJ
	)	
FRANCIS G. SLAY, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis in this civil action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The motion is granted. Additionally, this action is dismissed.

## **Standard of Review**

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court is required to dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis if it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. To state a claim for relief under § 1983, a complaint must plead more than "legal conclusions" and "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action [that are] supported by mere conclusory statements." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). A plaintiff must demonstrate a plausible claim for relief, which is more than a "mere possibility of misconduct." *Id.* at 679. "A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Id.* at 678.

## The Complaint

Plaintiff was a pretrial detainee at the St. Louis City Justice Center (Justice Center) at all times relevant to the complaint. He alleges he was denied medical care for a toothache for five

months. He names as defendants Francis Slay, Mayor of St. Louis; Dell Glass, St. Louis

Corrections Commissioner; Jeffery Carson, St. Louis City Justice Center Superintendent; and

Corizon Health, Inc. Plaintiff seeks to hold defendants liable because they hired or supervised

the persons operating the Justice Center.

**Discussion** 

"Liability under § 1983 requires a causal link to, and direct responsibility for, the alleged

deprivation of rights." Madewell v. Roberts, 909 F.2d 1203, 1208 (8th Cir. 1990); see Ashcroft

v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 676 (2009) ("Because vicarious liability is inapplicable to Bivens and §

1983 suits, a plaintiff must plead that each Government-official defendant, through the official's

own individual actions, has violated the Constitution."); Camberos v. Branstad, 73 F.3d 174, 176

(8th Cir. 1995) ("a general responsibility for supervising the operations of a prison is insufficient

to establish the personal involvement required to support liability."). None of the defendants in

this case were directly responsible for plaintiff's medical care. As a result, the complaint is

legally frivolous.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF

No. 10] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

An Order of Dismissal will be filed separately.

Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2016.

STEPHEN N. LIMBAUGH, JR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2